

## PRESENTER



### **Paul David QC, Eldon Chambers, Auckland**

Paul is an experienced leading counsel. While his work now generally centres on commercial disputes, Paul maintains a broad practice. He has a career-long specialist practice in maritime and trade law where the interpretation of contracts and the speedy application of the principles of contract law are central to the work. For more information see [www.pauldavid.co.nz](http://www.pauldavid.co.nz)

*The statements and conclusions contained in this book are those of the author(s) only and not those of the New Zealand Law Society. This book has been prepared for the purpose of a Continuing Legal Education course. It is not intended to be a comprehensive statement of the law or practice, and should not be relied upon as such. If advice on the law is required, it should be sought on a formal, professional basis.*

# CONTENTS

|   |           |
|---|-----------|
| <b>1. INTRODUCTION.....</b>   | <b>1</b>  |
| AREAS COVERED.....  | 2         |
| <b>2. INTERPRETATION OF CONTRACTS AND IMPLIED TERMS.....</b>                              | <b>3</b>  |
| SUPREME COURT – <i>SAVVY VINEYARDS V WETA ESTATES</i> .....                               | 4         |
| <i>Background</i> .....   | 4         |
| <i>Option to purchase</i> .....   | 4         |
| <i>High Court</i> .....   | 5         |
| <i>Court of Appeal – option interpretation</i> .....                                      | 6         |
| <i>Interpretation of the option</i> .....   | 6         |
| <i>Supreme Court decision</i> .....   | 6         |
| <i>Comment</i> .....  | 7         |
| SUPREME COURT – <i>BATHURST RESOURCES LTD V L &amp; M COAL HOLDINGS LTD</i> .....         | 7         |
| <i>Introduction</i> .....   | 7         |
| <i>The dispute</i> .....  | 8         |
| <i>Clause 3.10</i> .....  | 9         |
| <i>Subsequent events</i> .....  | 9         |
| <i>Claims</i> .....   | 10        |
| <i>High Court and Court of Appeal</i> .....   | 10        |
| <i>Supreme Court</i> .....  | 11        |
| <i>Implied terms</i> .....  | 11        |
| <i>On the interpretation of the contract</i> .....  | 11        |
| <i>Court divided on interpretation – clause 3.10</i> .....                                | 12        |
| <i>Comment</i> .....  | 13        |
| <i>On admissibility</i> .....   | 14        |
| <i>The end of a long and winding road?</i> .....  | 15        |
| <i>Lesson from the case</i> .....   | 15        |
| ESTOPPEL BY CONVENTION .....  | 16        |
| <b>3. CONTRACTUAL DISCRETIONS, GOOD FAITH IN CONTRACT .....</b>                           | <b>19</b> |
| QUESTION OF CONSTRUCTION .....  | 19        |
| TERMINATION OF CONTRACT ON NOTICE – ALLEGED BREACH OF IMPLIED OBLIGATIONS                 |           |
| AS TO EXERCISE OF POWER.....  | 20        |
| <i>High Court – Woolley v Fonterra</i> .....  | 20        |
| EXPRESS OBLIGATION TO USE “REASONABLE ENDEAVOURS IN GOOD FAITH” .....                     | 21        |
| <b>4. RESCISSION FOR ECONOMIC DURESS BY LAWFUL ACTS .....</b>                             | <b>23</b> |
| COURT OF APPEAL – <i>DOLD V MURPHY</i> .....  | 23        |
| UK SUPREME COURT – <i>PAKISTAN INTERNATIONAL AIRLINE LTD V TIMES TRAVEL LTD</i> .....     | 23        |
| <i>Facts</i> .....  | 23        |
| <i>High Court and Court of Appeal</i> .....   | 24        |
| <i>The Supreme Court</i> .....  | 24        |
| <i>Comment</i> .....  | 25        |
| FUTURE CASES .....  | 25        |
| <b>5. MISREPRESENTATION AND CANCELLATION .....</b>  | <b>27</b> |
| <i>BUSHLINE</i> IN THE SUPREME COURT .....  | 27        |
| <i>Previously</i> .....   | 27        |
| <i>Court of Appeal</i> .....  | 27        |
| <i>Supreme Court</i> .....  | 27        |
| CANCELLATION OF A BUILDING CONTRACT – RIGHT TO CANCEL AND DISPUTE RESOLUTION CLAUSE ..... | 28        |
| <i>Jade Residential Ltd v Paul</i> .....  | 28        |
| <i>Facts</i> .....  | 28        |
| <i>Court of Appeal</i> .....  | 29        |
| <i>Cancellation</i> .....   | 29        |
| <i>Comment</i> .....  | 30        |